

### **REMARKS**

Claims 1-21 were pending when last examined. With this Amendment, Claims 1 and 14 have been amended. All pending claims are shown in the detailed listing above.

### **Specification**

According to the Examiner: “there are numerous grammatical errors throughout the specification which should be corrected in response to this office action.” These grammatical errors have been corrected in this response. As such, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw the objection.

The Examiner also objects to the title of the invention as not descriptive and states that a new title is required. Applicants have amended the title to: “Inverter Driver and Method.” As such, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw the objection to the title.

### **Drawings**

According to the Examiner, “no capacitor is seen in instant Fig. 3, although it is referred to in the specification at page 4 [para 0028]. Also, Fig. 4 is incorrect in that the input to amplifier 430 should be ‘Vcomp’ (not Vmo), see the specification at page 10 [para 0069]. Moreover, ‘Vcomp’ needs to be labeled in instant Fig. 1. Finally, it is not clear where in Fig. 1 the ‘amplifying means’ is referred to at page 5 [para 0031, line 6].”

Applicants submit herewith replacement sheets with amendments to Fig. 1 and Fig. 4. In Fig. 1, a capacitor C3 which is described in the specification, was not previously shown in the figure, has been added. In addition, “Vcomp” is now labelled in Fig. 1. As for Fig. 4, the input amplifier 430 has been changed to “Vcomp.” Lastly, the “amplifying means” referred to is performed by comparator 240, which is described in paragraph 0031 of the Specification.

In light of the above, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw the objection to the drawings.

**Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 112**

The Examiner rejects Claims 1-21 as being indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. According to the Examiner: “In Claim 1, it is incorrect to recite that the control signal supply circuit ‘outputs’ a first voltage, i.e., this should be changed to recite that the control signal supply circuit ‘generates’ a first voltage.” The Examiner also states that Claim 14 has the same indefiniteness problems as Claim 1. Applicants have amended Claim 1 by replacing “outputs” with “generates.” Similarly, Claim 14 has been amended by replacing “outputting” with “generating.”

The Examiner also states that lines 6-7 in Claim 1 are incorrect “because the third voltage ( $V_{mo}$ ) is generated by multiplying  $V_{comp}$  by  $V_a$ , not by multiplying  $V_{comp}$  by a predetermined gain.” Applicants respectfully traverse. Claim 1 states that “a third voltage is generated by multiplying the first voltage by a predetermined gain.” As stated in the present Specification, “a multiplier 260 multiplies output signals of the subtractor 220 and the comparator 240 by a predetermined gain  $K$  to generate a voltage  $V_{mo}$ .” (See paragraph 0031). The output voltage  $V_{mo}$  is also specifically given in Equation 1 as  $V_{mo} = K \times V_{comp} \times (V_r - V_{nc})$ , where  $K$  is the predetermined gain and  $(V_r - V_{nc})$  is  $V_a$ . (See paragraph 0032). For these reasons, lines 6-7 in Claim 1 are not indefinite.

In light of the above, the Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw any rejection of Claims 1-21 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

**Allowable Subject Matter**

Applicants appreciate the Examiner’s indication that Claims 1-21 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. § 112.

second paragraph set forth in the Office Action. Applicants have amended Claims 1 and 14, as discussed above, and therefore, Claims 1-21 should now be in condition for allowance.


**CONCLUSION**

Applicants respectfully request that the pending claims be allowed and the case passed to issue. Should the Examiner wish to discuss the Application, it is requested that the Examiner contact the undersigned at (415) 772-1200.

EXPRESS MAIL LABEL NO.:  
EV 611 225 743 US

Respectfully submitted,

By:



Philip W. Woo  
Attorney of Record  
Registration No. 39,880  
PWW/rp

December 9, 2004

SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP  
555 California Street, Suite 2000  
San Francisco, CA 94104-1715

**AMENDMENT TO THE DRAWINGS**

Please amend Figs. 1 and 4 of drawings as indicated in the attached replacement sheets. The amendments are indicated in red highlight.